Received & Inspected

NOV 2 0 2012

FCC Mall Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am writing to provide my comments on Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) Public Notice on the "Structure and practices of the video relay service (VRS) program and on proposed VRS compensation rates."

I am deaf and VRS is how I stay in touch with my family and friends who are not deaf. I'm sure that hearing people don't think about what it means to be able to pick up the phone and call anyone any time or anywhere they want. But for me, this means everything. VRS has changed my life.

I am alarmed that the FCC is proposing to dramatically change the VRS program. Why is the FCC going out of its way to fix something that isn't broken?

I think there are two crucial reasons to keep the current VRS system in place.

First, I like the company I do business with. I don't want to be forced to switch companies because the one I work with has gone out of business.

Second, I don't want to have to buy and set up my own VRS equipment. I got my equipment at no cost from my VRS provider. They installed it and continue to maintain it. It would be unfair to now shift this burden to me and other deaf people. If the government wants to prevent deaf people from connecting with others and using VRS, this is a good way to do it.

The VRS program works for people who are deaf. It's how we communicate every day with the hearing world and how the hearing world communicates with us. Any changes to the program must be in the best interest of deaf Americans. The changes being considered by the FCC are not.

Sincerely,	
Name Gred a. Lessing	
Title, if appropriate	
Address 18867 KIRKCOLM LN	PORTER RANCH,
Telephone Number <u>818-294-7597</u>	CA 413.26
The second of th	and the second of the second o
q = q + q + q	
	and the control of th

and the second of the second o

And the second of the second o

v_t ()

Received & Inspected
NOV 2 0 2012

FCC Mail Room

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am writing in response to the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) request for comments on the "Structure and practices of the video relay service (VRS) program and on proposed VRS compensation rates." I am opposed to the changes being considered.

VRS has created a more level playing field for people like me who are deaf or hard-of-hearing, empowering us to communicate via videophone with anyone at any time in our native language, American Sign Language. The nature of the work I do requires that I be able to use the phone to communicate with colleagues, clients and-business associates regardless of whether they are hearing or deaf. Without reliable, high-quality VRS service I would not be able to do my job effectively.

The changes the FCC is considering would drastically change the nature of the VRS I depend on. One of the aspects of VRS that makes it such an effective way to communicate is the quality of the videophone technology used and the fact that the products provided by VRS companies have been developed specifically with the needs of the deaf — my needs — in mind. Yet, the FCC is considering changes that would, instead, force us to use off-the-shelf products and government-mandated software. Using products developed by and for people who are hearing would be a huge step backwards! The FCC cannot consider this to be a reasonable replacement for the high quality, specialized VRS technology we use every day.

The rate changes being considered by the FCC would also directly affect my ability to access VRS, as well as the reliability and quality of service I depend on. If the FCC slashes the rates paid to VRS providers, as suggested in its Public Notice, many companies will simply stop providing this essential service. This will put me and all members of the deaf community at a significant disadvantage.

In my view, VRS today is a shining example of what Congress intended when it passed the Americans with Disabilities Act 22 years ago. It is absolutely essential that any changes to the current program maintain the access, innovation and reliability that define VRS today.

Sincerely,

Name <u>Gred A. Lussing</u>

Title, if appropriate____

Address 18867 KIRKCOLM LN PORTER RANCH

CA 91326

Telephone Number 818-294-7597

Received & Inspected
NOV 2 0 2012
FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am writing in response to the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) request for comments on the "Structure and practices of the video relay service (VRS) program and on proposed VRS compensation rates." I am very concerned about these proposals and how they will affect my family's safety.

VRS is a lifeline. It allows me to conduct business, connect with my family and friends and do many other things over the phone that many hearing people take for granted. Most important, though, VRS is how I access my local emergency 911 <u>service</u>. In an emergency I know that when I place a 911 call it will be answered immediately. My location will be known. And, specially trained American Sign Language (ASL) interpreters will be there to make sure my local emergency responders know exactly what help I need. You can't imagine how frightening it is to think that I might not be able to get help for me or my family because of long hold times, poorly trained interpreters, or bad equipment.

Cutting the rates paid to VRS providers as low as the FCC proposes will only reduce service quality I currently depend on. How will these companies hire and keep skilled ASL interpreters on staff when the government has just cut what they are willing to pay them by \$2 an hour? How will 911 calls be answered immediately when there are fewer interpreters and longer hold times? How will I know that my VRS will work when I'm using a videophone from WalMart instead of the specially designed videophone from my VRS provider?

I hope the FCC has answers to all of the questions before it considers changing the current system.

Sincerely,

Name Fred A. Flssing

Title, if appropriate

Address 18867 KIRKCOLM LN PORTER RANCH
CA 91326

Telephone Number 818-294-7597

Pastor Gene Willard Craig Lansberry, Sr. DD

1125 Laird St.

Received & Inspected

Akron, Ohio 44305-3227

NOV 202012

(234) 738-1338

FCC Mail Room

Tuesday, November 13, 2012

Save My VRS

Federal Communication Commission

445 12th St., SW,

Room TW-A325

Washington, DC 20554

Re; Pursuant to Sections 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commissioner's Rules, 47 C.F.R Reference CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

The FCC is to take away needed Functions of, my wife's Video Relay Service Phone? They already took the direct number away; this made her unable to call Direct Video Phone to Video Phone: Now Relay Service; is always Required! (as I understand) The new changes will hinder safety for Handicapped People, 911 services will severely be effected. Cynther is Profound Deaf at times; she is at times mute from prescribed medications. I am losing my sight from: Retinitis Pigmentosa & can no longer drive, If you make thes proposed cuts, we will be more unsafe in our home, to the point of not being sole to access Emergency Services at all. My being Blind means I can't answer her Phone; I can't see the Hand-Movements. I'm already limited in communication with Cynther my wife. Blind equipment is already very expensive, when living solely on Social Security.

Parte consa. C. Jely De. 00

Pastor Gene W. C. Lansberry Sr. DD

Received & Inspected

NOV 2 0 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am writing to provide my comments on Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) Public Notice on the "Structure and practices of the video relay service (VRS) program and on proposed VRS compensation rates."

I am deaf and VRS is how I stay in touch with my family and friends who are not deaf. I'm sure that hearing people don't think about what it means to be able to pick up the phone and call anyone any time or anywhere they want. But for me, this means everything. VRS has changed my life.

I am alarmed that the FCC is proposing to dramatically change the VRS program. Why is the FCC going out of its way to fix something that isn't broken?

I think there are two crucial reasons to keep the current VRS system in place.

First, I like the company I do business with. I don't want to be forced to switch companies because the one I work with has gone out of business.

Second, I don't want to have to buy and set up my own VRS equipment. I got my equipment at no cost from my VRS provider. They installed it and continue to maintain it. It would be unfair to now shift this burden to me and other deaf people. If the government wants to prevent deaf people from connecting with others and using VRS, this is a good way to do it.

The VRS program works for people who are deaf. It's how we communicate every day with the hearing world and how the hearing world communicates with us. Any changes to the program must be in the best interest of deaf Americans. The changes being considered by the FCC are not.

Sincerely,					
Name (ide	le R. Dar	ndson			
Title, if appropri	iate				
Address 102	Of Maso	ware #1	3 Charle	worth,	Ca 9131
Telephone Num	ber <u>8/8-435</u>	-7741	Tiff.		, 00, 110//
	The state of the s	en de la companya de La companya de la co	. 1 1 610b - 5	st t	43

And the state of t

the state of the s

NOV 2 0 2012 FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am writing in response to the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) request for comments on the "Structure and practices of the video relay service (VRS) program and on proposed VRS compensation rates." I am opposed to the changes being considered.

VRS has created a more level playing field for people like me who are deaf or hard-of-hearing, empowering us to communicate via videophone with anyone at any time in our native language, American Sign Language. The nature of the work I do requires that I be able to use the phone to communicate with colleagues, clients and business associates regardless of whether they are hearing or deaf. Without reliable, high-quality VRS service I would not be able to do my job effectively.

The changes the FCC is considering would drastically change the nature of the VRS I depend on. One of the aspects of VRS that makes it such an effective way to communicate is the quality of the videophone technology used and the fact that the products provided by VRS companies have been developed specifically with the needs of the deaf – my needs – in mind. Yet, the FCC is considering changes that would, instead, force us to use off-the-shelf products and government-mandated software. Using products developed by and for people who are hearing would be a huge step backwards! The FCC cannot consider this to be a reasonable replacement for the high quality, specialized VRS technology we use every day.

The rate changes being considered by the FCC would also directly affect my ability to access VRS, as well as the reliability and quality of service I depend on. If the FCC slashes the rates paid to VRS providers, as suggested in its Public Notice, many companies will simply stop providing this essential service. This will put me and all members of the deaf community at a significant disadvantage.

In my view, VRS today is a shining example of what Congress intended when it passed the Americans with Disabilities Act 22 years ago. It is absolutely essential that any changes to the current program maintain the access, innovation and reliability that define VRS today.

Sincerely,
Name adele R. Davidson
Title, if appropriate
Address 10201 Mason au #103, Chatsworth, Ca. 91311
Telephone Number 818-435-7741

Received & Inspected

NOV 2 0 2012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am writing in response to the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) request for comments on the "Structure and practices of the video relay service (VRS) program and on proposed VRS compensation rates." I am very concerned about these proposals and how they will affect my family's safety.

VRS is a lifeline. It allows me to conduct business, connect with my family and friends and do many other things over the phone that many hearing people take for granted. Most important, though, VRS is how I access my local emergency 911 service. In an emergency I know that when I place a 911 call it will be answered immediately. My location will be known. And, specially trained American Sign Language (ASL) interpreters will be there to make sure my local emergency responders know exactly what help I need. You can't imagine how frightening it is to think that I might not be able to get help for me or my family because of long hold times, poorly trained interpreters, or bad equipment.

Cutting the rates paid to VRS providers as low as the FCC proposes will only reduce service quality I currently depend on. How will these companies hire and keep skilled ASL interpreters on staff when the government has just cut what they are willing to pay them by \$2 an hour? How will 911 calls be answered immediately when there are fewer interpreters and longer hold times? How will I know that my VRS will work when I'm using a videophone from WalMart instead of the specially designed videophone from my VRS provider?

I hope the FCC has answers to all of the questions before it considers changing the current system.

Sincerely,
Name adele R. Davidson
Title, if appropriate
Address 10201 Mason Que #103 - Chatsworth, Ca. 9/3/1-3.
Telephone Number 818 - 435 - 7741

FCC Mail Room

- 1) I am deaf or hard of hearing and use VRS to stay in Touch with familys and friends, and Doctors.
 - 2. I am deaf or hard of hearing and Rely on VRS for Emergency 911 Services. (if heart problem)

Mrs. Susan D. Presock 1766 Salida Rd. Apt. 213 Mentor on the Lake, Ohio 44060

Phone: (440) 290-4751 (VP) Cell Phone: (440) 290-5008 (VP) Text: (216) 225-7341

Email: 2/6225734/@Messaging, SPrintPCS... Com

> Thank you! Susan D. Presork

Collin Petersm;

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected

- < 202012

I am writing to provide my comments on the FCC's Public Notice on the "Structure and Gradual's Room of the video relay service (VRS) program and on proposed VRS compensation rates."

I am deaf and VRS is how I stay in touch with my family and friends who are not deaf. I'm sure hearing people don't think about what it means to be able to pick up the phone and call anyone any time or anywhere they want. But for me, this means everything. VRS has changed my life. am alarmed the FCC is proposing to dramatically change the VRS program. Why is the FCC going out of its way to fix something that isn't broken?

I think there are two crucial reasons to keep the current VRS system in place.

First, I like the company I do business with. I don't want to be forced to switch companies because the one I work with has gone out of business. Second, I don't want to have to buy and set up my own VRS equipment. I got my equipment at no cost from my VRS provider. They installed it and continue to maintain it. It would be unfair to now shift this burden to me and other deaf people. If the government wants to prevent deaf people from connecting with others and using VRS, this is a good way to do it.

The VRS program works for people who are how we communicate every day with the hearing world and how the hearing world communicates with us. Any changes to the program must be in the best interest of deaf Americans. The changes being considered by the FCC are not. I hope that you will help prevent these changes from taking place..eaf. It's

Mov 13, 2012

FCC Headquarter at 455 12 th Sw Room Tw A 325 Washington DC 20554

Received & Inspected NOV 2 0 2012 FCC Mail Room

Hello agents I really love it very nuch Please don't out of all because We are Senior Citizen Cake 911 and my family for emercy. Important for us. Call Contract Jamily & 911. I can Get lawyer Sul you if (person) or my Spouse Passed oway. How do I can We pay Cable 100. every month & Never see FCC Spend on me?? and I buy TV Myself- Sorenson give us Service great good & Neat

Mary Oxendine 1907 Anderson Ave. Chattanooga, TN 37404 Received & Inspected NOV 2 0 2012

FCC Mail Room

November 14, 2012 Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Julius Genachowski, Chairman,

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am writing to provide our comments on the FCC's Public Notice on the "Structure and practices of the video relay service (VRS) program and on proposed VRS compensation rates."

I am deaf and VRS is how I stay in touch with my family and friends who are not deaf. I am sure hearing people don't think about what it means to be able to pick up the phone and call anyone any time or anywhere they want. But for me, this means everything. VRS has changed my life.

I am alarmed the FCC is proposing to dramatically change the VRS program. Why is the FCC going out of its way to fix something that isn't broken? I think there are two crucial reasons to keep the current VRS system in place. First, I like the company I do business with. I don't want to be forced to switch companies because the one I work with has gone out of business. Second, I don't want to have to buy and set up my own VRS equipment. I got my equipment at no cost from my VRS provider. They installed it and continue to

maintain it. It would be unfair to now shift this burden to me and other deaf people. If the government wants to prevent deaf people from connecting with others and using VRS, this is a good way to do it.

The VRS program works for people who are deaf. It's how I communicate every day with the hearing world and how the hearing world communicates with me. Any changes to the program must be in the best interest of deaf Americans. The changes being considered by the FCC are not. I hope that you will help prevent these changes from taking place. Sincerely yours,

Mary Oxendine

mary Oxendine

NOV 2 0 2012

42435 Kingsley Drive Clinton Township, MI 48038 November 14, 2012

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communication Commission 445 12 Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Julius Genachowski

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am hearing, but know how important VRS is for those who are deaf or hard-of-hearing.

I am writing in response to the FCC's request for comments on the "Structure and practices of the video relay service (VRS) program and on proposed VRS compensation rates." I am very concerned that the changes being considered will destroy a program that is vitally important to people who are deaf or hard-of-hearing.

I am not deaf, but I know firsthand how VRS works. VRS allows people who are deaf or hard of hearing to use the "phone" to communicate just like people who can hear. With VRS they can do the things we take for granted – make a doctor's appointment or call a child's school. VRS puts people who are deaf on a more level playing field.

The changes being considered by the FCC would undo much of this progress. VRS largely relies on highly skilled American Sign Language (ASL) interpreters. The FCC wants to drastically cut the rate they pay VS companies for providing this service. Obviously, this will have an immediate and negative effect on the ability of VRS companies to employ and train qualified interpreters.

The FCC has also suggested that VRS can be just as effectively provided through government mandated software that is used on off the shelf equipment like common videophones, computers, or tablets. While such equipment can provide a convenient backup solution, it can't replace the videophones and other technologies the special needs of the deaf and hard-of-hearing.

If the FCC takes away skilled ASL interpreters and innovative equipment, VRS as we know it today won't exist. This would be a huge step backward for the rights and opportunities of Americans who are deaf and hard-of-hearing.

Lieschke Gayle Bieschke

Sincerely,

Donald and Gayle Bieschke

Donald 1

Jam happy to have VKS, server Heceived & Inspected aften we call Dow office and Dr. Mail Room talk we ask help me lot - nydbugther

Health nurse held me 3 - so can

my bamily purple 3in my house have I D pad use purple 3- nonthem Land talk to them 11 num vien Comptable with a don't feel when your agreat for personal is great for personal is great for Relay or a star use Relay or a star won dertub - Call on those is not won dertub. all there is gaint wonderful all Service - Mr. 124, cell phone any Service in my mon is 100 year old- she we my non is now to part of the continued of t none 10 comme to Save my ton, to Save my ton, to Save my ton to save me to save more tone to save more tone to save more tone. cell phone to contract me often VRS. NOD cont Known Please Reep stay

Please por winany thank for allow us to Winany prease Keep hank was part with Keep YRS. Prease Thank was son alone ! Please. k Jarella Bookshriss



The Fac agency

Received & inspected

NOV 202012

Janu Weaf + Blind Juan FCC Mail Room use Vp- and contract my Parent as Emergerey. I com happy with VRS because I can do myself-, Commissele, can living alone apt. 5 pp help buy tood etc Wennis Jr dont want lose VP-S-Iline Ihrs in Seattle and my Parent leve I hrs away Comano Island, Wash. I need VRS I pay Cable for VP. 100.00 Please Don't Drop or stopit is tongsortanto me I pay TV for VP - I call inpereter Can talk Drappt-eye Dr-Job Search

Thanh Bookshuis gr

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

7310 Standifer Gap Road Apt #1302

FCC Mail Room

Chattanooga TN 37421-1473

November 14, 2012

Brenda Hollingsworth

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street SW

Washington, DC 20554

Dear Julius Genachowski, Chairman,

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am writing to provide our comments on the FCC's Public Notice on the "Structure and practices of the video relay service (VRS) program and on proposed VRS compensation rates."

I am deaf and VRS is how I stay in touch with my family and friends who are not deaf. I am sure hearing people don't think about what it means to be able to pick up the phone and call anyone any time or anywhere they want. But for me, this means everything. VRS has changed my life.

I am alarmed the FCC is proposing to dramatically change the VRS program. Why is the FCC going out of its way to fix something that isn't broken?

I think there are two crucial reasons to keep the current VRS system in place.

First, I like the company I do business with. I don't want to be forced to switch companies because the one I work with has gone out of business.

Second, I don't want to have to buy and set up my own VRS equipment. I got my equipment at no cost from my VRS provider. They installed it and continue to maintain it. It would be unfair to now shift this burden to me and other deaf people. If the government wants to prevent deaf people from connecting with others and using VRS, this is a good way to do it.

The VRS program works for people who are deaf. It's how I communicate every day with the hearing world and how the hearing world communicates with me. Any changes to the program must be in the best interest of deaf Americans. The changes being considered by the FCC are not. I hope that you will help prevent these changes from taking place.

Sincerely yours,

Brenda Hollingsworth

Brench Hollingswatts

Received & Inspected

202012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am writing to provide my comments on Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) Public Notice on the "Structure and practices of the video relay service (VRS) program and on proposed VRS compensation rates."

I am deaf and VRS is how I stay in touch with my family and friends who are not deaf. I'm sure that hearing people don't think about what it means to be able to pick up the phone and call anyone any time or anywhere they want. But for me, this means everything. VRS has changed my life.

I am alarmed that the FCC is proposing to dramatically change the VRS program. Why is the FCC going out of its way to fix something that isn't broken?

I think there are two crucial reasons to keep the current VRS system in place.

· Only

First, I like the company I do business with. I don't want to be forced to switch companies because the one I work with has gone out of business.

Second, I don't want to have to buy and set up my own VRS equipment. I got my equipment at no cost from my VRS provider. They installed it and continue to maintain it. It would be unfair to now shift this burden to me and other deaf people. If the government wants to prevent deaf people from connecting with others and using VRS, this is a good way to do it.

The VRS program works for people who are deaf. It's how we communicate every day with the hearing world and how the hearing world communicates with us. Any changes to the program must be in the best interest of deaf Americans. The changes being considered by the FCC are not.

Sincerely,
Name Foretta Swanson
Title, if appropriate
Address 5844 Naturb We Sherman Oaks Ca 91411
Telephone Number 016 3 8 0 4 00 1
I have 2 daughters - they use Sorenson

Peceived & Inspected NOV 2 0 2012 FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am writing in response to the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC) request for comments on the "Structure and practices of the video relay service (VRS) program and on proposed VRS compensation rates." I am opposed to the changes being considered.

VRS has created a more level playing field for people like me who are deaf or hard-of-hearing, empowering us to communicate via videophone with anyone at any time in our native language, American Sign Language. The nature of the work I do requires that I be able to use the phone to communicate with colleagues, clients and business associates regardless of whether they are hearing or deaf. Without reliable, high-quality VRS service I would not be able to do my job effectively.

The changes the FCC is considering would drastically change the nature of the VRS I depend on. One of the aspects of VRS that makes it such an effective way to communicate is the quality of the videophone technology used and the fact that the products provided by VRS companies have been developed specifically with the needs of the deaf – my needs – in mind. Yet, the FCC is considering changes that would, instead, force us to use off-the-shelf products and government-mandated software. Using products developed by and for people who are hearing would be a huge step backwards! The FCC cannot consider this to be a reasonable replacement for the high quality, specialized VRS technology we use every day.

The rate changes being considered by the FCC would also directly affect my ability to access VRS, as well as the reliability and quality of service I depend on. If the FCC slashes the rates paid to VRS providers, as suggested in its Public Notice, many companies will simply stop providing this essential service. This will put me and all members of the deaf community at a significant disadvantage.

In my view, VRS today is a shining example of what Congress intended when it passed the Americans with Disabilities Act 22 years ago. It is absolutely essential that any changes to the current program maintain the access, innovation and reliability that define VRS today.

Sincerely,
Name Loretta Sevanson
Title, if appropriate
Address 5844 Natick ave Sherman oaks Ca 91411
Telephone Number 8 18 - 528 - 680 7
Telephone Number 818-325-6801 Thave two daughters. They use Sorenson
Dorenson